

Dear Authors,

It's my pleasure to inform you that, after the peer preview, your paper:

Correspondence : Darmawati

“The Students’ Achievement In Writing Recount Text By Using Task-Based Language Method”

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to International Journal Reglement & Society (IJRS) published by BUNDA MEDIA GRUP ,

" Url: <https://jurnal.bundamedia grup.co.id/index.php/ijrs/index>

Thank you,

Medan, 3 October 2023

BUNDA MEDIA GRUP
Direktur Utama,


Rahmat Ramadhani, S.H., M.H

Manuscript Evaluation Form Reviewer

1.	Title	Satisfactory and descriptive (3)	Reflect the indicated of the manuscript file (2)	Required modifications are indicated on the manuscript file by comments (1)	I will answer this question at a later time
2.	Abstract	Conclusive (3)	Describe the essence of the manuscript file (2)	Required modifications are indicated on the manuscript file by comments (1)	I will answer this question at a later time
3.	Keywords	Adequate (2)	Inadequate (1)	Required modifications are indicated on the manuscript file by comments	I will answer this question at a later time
4.	Introduction	Adequate and well states the objective (3)	Related to the work (2)	Insufficient and/or explicit hypothesis to be tested is missing (1)	Required modifications are indicated on the manuscript file by comments
5.	Materials and Methods	Experiments are properly planted and checked (2)	Description and/ or experiments improvable (1)	Required modifications are indicated on the manuscript file by comments	I will answer this question at a later time
6.	Data	Data are reliable (6)	Required modifications are indicated on the manuscript file by comments (5)	I will answer this question at a later time (4)	I will answer this question at a later time (1)
7.	Interpretation and conclusion	Properly drawn in regard to methods and data (4)	Should be adjusted (3)	Inefficiently supported (2)	Required modifications are indicated on the manuscript file by comments
8.	Discussion	The relevance of the research is properly discussed (5)	Discussion could be improved (2)	Required modifications are indicated on the manuscript file by comments	I will answer this question at a later time
9.	References	References are properly (3)	Old- new references should be incorporated (1)	Required modifications are indicated on the manuscript file by comments	I will answer this question at a later time
10.	Is the paper concisely written?	Yes (2)	NO- list the places that should be especially checked	Required modifications are indicated on the manuscript file by comments	I will answer this question at a later time
11.	English	Correct and understandable to multinational readership (3)	Readable (2)	Poor	I will answer this question at a later time
12.	Information contained the manuscript	Original and conclusive (5)	Valuable confirmation (3)	Repetition of know results (1)	Required modifications are indicated on the manuscript file by comments
13.	Your decision	Accepted in its present form	Could be reconsidered after minor revision	Could be reconsidered after major revision	Rejected (Should not be published in the journal)
14.	Your comments	. The authors provide detailed analysis, I think the paper could prove to be very interesting and useful to a very large audience, possibly making it acceptable for publication.			